



Eric Krause

Partner

San Francisco

TEL 415.490.1491

ekrause@axinn.com

SPOTLIGHT

“Eric Krause is a well-rounded litigator who has carefully honed superb advocacy skills in a multitude of forums. Whether representing clients at federal district courts, the ITC or PTAB, Krause is sure to put in a resonant performance.”

- *IAM Patent 1000*

PRACTICE AREAS

Intellectual Property

ITC Section 337

Patents

Technology

Trade Secret, Trademark & Copyright

EDUCATION

JD, cum laude – University of California, Hastings College of Law (2008)

BS, Electrical Engineering – Rice University (2000)

ADMISSIONS

California

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

PROFILE

Eric Krause tries patent and trade secret cases concerning technology ranging from semiconductor fabrication and design to IoT, cloud computing, and everything in between. Drawing on his experience as a former Electrical and Computer Engineer at AMD in the fields of BIOS, systems management, and network architecture, from the time when AMD was the sole supplier of 64-bit x86 CPUs, Eric develops and presents compelling defenses at trial based on the technology at issue. As a registered patent attorney, he frequently manages the interplay between IPR and litigation proceedings. His record for obtaining defense victories in key patent venues such as the Eastern and Western Districts of Texas, Delaware, ITC, and PTAB has been recognized by *IAM Patent 1000*.

In addition to his intellectual property caseload, Eric participates in the Veterans Consortium Pro Bono Program and has assisted the Brady Center to Prevent Gun Violence and volunteered for the Southern Poverty Law Center’s Southeast Immigrant Freedom Initiative.

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

- Eastern District of Texas Bench Bar Planning Committee
- ITC Trial Lawyers Association

EXPERIENCE

- ***Applied Materials v. Ocean Semiconductor (PTAB)***
Final written decisions of unpatentability of all 100+ challenged claims of four semiconductor manufacturing patents asserted in ten litigations across three district courts.

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

U.S. District Court Northern District of California

U.S. District Court Eastern District of Texas

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

U.S. District Court Western District of Texas

- ***Certain UMTS and LTE Cellular Communication Modules and Products Containing Same (ITC)***
Final determination of no violation based on non-infringement and invalidity of all four asserted patents targeting UMTS/LTE standards and mobile communication modules.
- ***Certain Liquid Crystal Display Devices, Including Monitors, Televisions, and Modules, and Components Thereof (ITC; Fed. Cir.)***
Final determination of no violation as to all five patents asserted by Thomson (formerly Technicolor) on behalf of Respondents Chimei InnoLux, InnoLux, and Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, affirmed by Federal Circuit.
- ***Certain Electronic Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablet Computers, and Components Thereof (ITC)***
Initial determination of no violation of all intervened patents.
- ***De La Vega v. Google (W.D. Tex. Waco Div.)***
Dismissal with prejudice at pleadings stage of patent claim involving live video streaming.
- ***VideoShare v. YouTube et al. (D. Del.; Fed. Cir.)***
Judgment of invalidity of all asserted patents involving video uploading/publishing, affirmed by Federal Circuit.
- ***Allure Energy v. Nest Labs (E.D. Tex.)***
Denial of preliminary injunction motion and judgment of invalidity based on indefiniteness.
- ***Tse v. Samsung (N.D. Cal.; Fed. Cir.)***
Judgment of invalidity of patent directed to software license control, affirmed by Federal Circuit; petition for rehearing en banc and cert. petition denied.
- ***SentriLock v. Carrier (D. Del.)***
Represent defendant in patent dispute

HONORS

- *IAM Patent 1000*, World's Leading Patent Professionals (2023)

